

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/028,052	Applicant(s) FLOYD ET AL.	
	Examiner Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1732	

All Participants:

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(2) Douglas B. Little.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
34-35

Prior art documents discussed:
that cited.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A proposed examiner's amendment to make claim 34 comensurate in scope to claim 1 by incserting a limitaiton that places the laminate chamber in a die portion. Other corrections regarding typographical errors were also discussed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)